

REMARKS

Status of the Claims

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the instant application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Upon entry of the amendment, claims 1-29 remain pending in the application. Of these, claims 1, 10 and 20 are independent. Claims 1, 10, 11 and 20 are sought to be amended. Applicant believes that these changes introduce no new matter. Entry and consideration of this amendment are respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for allegedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter. The Examiner suggests amending the claims to embody the program on “computer-readable medium” or equivalent. Applicant has amended claims 10 and 11 (and thus dependent claims 12-19) to recite “computer-readable medium”, as suggested by the Examiner. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the suggested claim amendment. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The Examiner states that the specification does not teach or suggest any product having instructions stored on a machine readable medium. Applicant has amended claims 10 and 11 (and thus dependent claims 12-19) to replace “machine readable media” with “computer-readable medium”, as

suggested by the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-7, 10-16, 19, 20-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,879,808 B1 (hereinafter referred to as “Nations”) and U.S. Patent 6,072,483 (hereinafter referred to as “Rosin”). Claims 8, 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Nations in view of Rosin and further in view of U.S. Patent Appl. No. 2004/0255326 A1 (hereinafter referred to as “Hicks”). Claims 9, 18 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Nations in view of Rosin and further in view of U.S. Patent 7,047,305 B1 (hereinafter referred to as “Brooks”).

Independent claims 1, 10 and 20 have been amended to include the feature of: wherein the personal web page is a home page for the personal web server. Support for this may be found in the specification on page 9, lines 21-22. Applicant asserts that Nations, Rosin, Hicks and Brooks, either taken alone or in combination, do not teach or suggest this added feature. Therefore, for at least this reason, independent claims 1, 10 and 20 (and their dependent claims 2-9, 11-19 and 21-29) are patentable over Nations, Rosin, Hicks and Brooks, either taken alone or in combination. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) be reconsidered and withdrawn.

INVITATION FOR A TELEPHONE INTERVIEW

The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned, Molly A. McCall, at (703) 633-0931 if there remains any issue with allowance of the case.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that all of the stated grounds of rejections have been properly traversed accommodated or rendered moot. Thus, Applicant believes that the present application is in condition for allowance, and as such, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections, and allowance of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Intel Corporation

Dated: October 31, 2006

/Molly A. McCall/ Reg. No. 46,126

Molly A. McCall
(703) 633-0931

P9902 reply to second non-final OA